

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
<small>Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204 Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.</small>				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE 30 October 1996	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Technical		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF POTENTIAL SINGLE-SOURCE PRECURSORS TO GROUP 13-ANTIMONIDES		5. FUNDING NUMBERS •N00014-95-1-0194 R&T Project 3135008---16 •N00014-93-1-0860 R&T Project 4135008---13 •Dr. Harold E. Guard		
6. AUTHOR(S) Ryan A. Baldwin, Edward E. Foos, Richard L. Wells, Peter S. White, Arnold L. Rheingold, and G. P. A. Yap				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Department of Chemistry Duke University Durham, NC 27708-0346		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER Technical Report No. DU/DC/TR-60//AASERT-05		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of Naval Research 300 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Accepted for publication in <i>Organometallics</i>		19961113 129		
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release Distribution Unlimited		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The independent 1:1 reactions of Et ₃ Ga and (Me ₃ SiCH ₂) ₃ In with Sb(SiMe ₃) ₃ yield the simple Lewis acid-base adducts Et ₃ Ga•Sb(SiMe ₃) ₃ (1) and (Me ₃ SiCH ₂) ₃ In•Sb(SiMe ₃) ₃ (2), respectively. Reaction of (Me ₃ CCH ₂) ₂ GaCl or (Me ₃ SiCH ₂) ₂ InCl with Sb(SiMe ₃) ₃ in a 1:1 mole ratio affords the dehalosilylation products [(Me ₃ CCH ₂) ₂ GaSb(SiMe ₃) ₂] _x (3) and [(Me ₃ SiCH ₂) ₂ InSb(SiMe ₃) ₂] ₂ (4), respectively. These new compounds were characterized by multinuclear solution NMR (¹ H and ¹³ C), partial elemental analysis and for 1, 2, and 4, single crystal X-ray analysis.				
DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2				
14. SUBJECT TERMS group 13, antimonides, synthesis, structure		15. NUMBER OF PAGES 21		
		16. PRICE CODE		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited	

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Grant N00014-95-1-0194
R&T Project 3135008---16

and

Grant N00014-93-1-0860
R&T Project 4135008---13

Dr. Harold E. Guard

Technical Report No. DU/DC/TR-60//AASERT-05

**SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF POTENTIAL
SINGLE-SOURCE PRECURSORS TO GROUP 13-ANTIMONIDES**

R. A. BALDWIN,¹ E. E. FOOS,¹ R. L. WELLS,¹ P. S. WHITE,²
A. L. RHEINGOLD,³ AND G. P. A. YAP³

¹Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708

²Department of Chemistry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27514

³Department of Chemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716

Accepted for publication in *Organometallics*

Duke University
Department of Chemistry,
P. M. Gross Chemical Laboratory
Box 90346
Durham, NC 27708-0346

30 October 1996

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

**SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF POTENTIAL SINGLE-SOURCE
PRECURSORS TO GROUP 13-ANTIMONIDES.**

RYAN A. BALDWIN, EDWARD E. FOOS, and RICHARD L. WELLS*

Department of Chemistry, Paul M. Gross Chemical Laboratory, Duke University,

Durham, North Carolina 27708, U.S.A.

PETER S. WHITE

Department of Chemistry, Venable Hall, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill,

Chapel Hill,

North Carolina 27514, U.S.A.

ARNOLD L. RHEINGOLD and GLENN P. A. YAP

Department of Chemistry, Drake Hall, University of Delaware,

Newark, Delaware 19716, U.S.A.

(Accepted August 29, 1996; revised, September 9, 1996)

*Author for correspondence

Abstract- The independent 1:1 reactions of Et_3Ga and $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_3\text{In}$ with $\text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ yield the simple Lewis acid-base adducts $\text{Et}_3\text{Ga}\bullet\text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ (1) and $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_3\text{In}\bullet\text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ (2), respectively. Reaction of $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaCl}$ or $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{InCl}$ with $\text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ in a 1:1 mole ratio affords the dehalosilylation products $[(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaSb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_x$ (3) and $[(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{InSb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_2$ (4), respectively. These new compounds were characterized by multinuclear solution NMR (^1H and ^{13}C), partial elemental analysis, and, for 1, 2, and 4, single crystal X-ray analysis.

Introduction

The bulk of our recent studies in the area of single-source precursors to 13-15 semiconducting materials has focused primarily on Group 13-phosphides and arsenides¹. This work has led not only to the isolation and characterization of many new potential precursor compounds (*vide supra*), but also to the facile synthesis of nanocrystalline 13-15 materials². A review of this general area, however, reveals that little investigation has been done in the area of Group 13-antimonides, especially pertaining to the isolation of potential single-source precursors. In addition, only a handful of compounds containing a gallium or indium atom directly bonded to antimony have been characterized in the solid state³⁻⁵. In an attempt to explore this uncharted area, we have synthesized and characterized four new compounds containing the Group 13-antimony linkage. Herein, we report the synthesis and characterization, including solid state structures of $\text{Et}_3\text{Ga}\bullet\text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ (1) and $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_3\text{In}\bullet\text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ (2), the first examples of compounds containing Group 13-antimony dative bonds to be characterized in the solid

state, as well as the compounds $[(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaSb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_x$ (3) and $[(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{InSb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_2$ (4).

Experimental Section

General Considerations: All manipulations of air- and moisture-sensitive materials were performed in a Vacuum Atmospheres HE-493 Dri-Lab containing an argon atmosphere or by standard Schlenk techniques. Pentane, hexane, and toluene were distilled over sodium/potassium alloy under dry dinitrogen. $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_3\text{In}$ ⁶, $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{InCl}$ ⁶, $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaCl}$ ⁷, and $\text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ ⁸ were prepared from literature procedures. Et_3Ga was purchased from Aldrich Chemicals and used as received. The integrity of all starting materials was confirmed using ^1H NMR spectroscopy. ^1H and $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity XL-400 spectrometer operating at 400 and 100.6 Mhz, respectively. ^1H and $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ spectra were referenced to TMS using the residual protons or carbons of deuterated benzene at δ 7.15 or δ 128.0, respectively. All NMR samples were prepared in 5-mm tubes which were septum-sealed under argon. Mass spectra were collected on a JEOL JMS-SX 102A spectrometer operating in the electron ionization mode at 20 eV. Melting points (uncorrected) were obtained with a Thomas-Hoover Uni-melt apparatus, using capillaries that were flame-sealed under argon. Elemental analyses were performed by E+R Microanalytical Laboratory, Inc., Corona, NY.

Preparation of $\text{Et}_3\text{Ga}\cdot\text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ (1): Et_3Ga (0.314 g, 2.00 mmol) in 20 mL of pentane was added to a single-necked 250 mL round-bottomed flask equipped with a stir bar and Teflon valve. $\text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ (0.682 g, 2.00 mmol) in 20 mL of pentane was added

slowly via pipette the clear, colorless solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. Volatiles were removed *in vacuo* to yield a yellow, waxy solid. Extraction of the solid with a small amount of warm pentane, followed by cooling to -30 °C produced colorless prismatic crystals of **1**, suitable for X-ray analysis (0.705 g, 71% yield). The crystals quickly redissolved upon warming to room temperature. m.p. 120 - 125 °C. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $C_{15}H_{42}GaSbSi_3$: C, 36.16 (36.11); H, 8.50 (8.42). 1H NMR: δ -0.04 (s, 27H, -SiMe₃), δ 0.74 (q, 6H, -CH₂), δ 1.42 (t, 9H, -CH₃). ^{13}C NMR{ 1H }: δ 4.26 (s, -SiMe₃), δ 7.35 (s, -CH₂), δ 12.26 (s, -CH₃).

Preparation of (Me₃SiCH₂)₃In•Sb(SiMe₃)₃ (2): (Me₃SiCH₂)₃In (0.438 g, 1.16 mmol) was dissolved in 25 mL of pentane and added to a single-necked 250 mL round-bottomed flask fitted with a stir bar and Teflon valve. To this solution was added Sb(SiMe₃)₃ (0.398 g, 1.16 mmol) in 25 mL of pentane. The resulting clear, slightly gray solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 d. The volatiles were removed *in vacuo* to yield an off-white crystalline solid, which was extracted with warm pentane. Cooling of the extract to -30 °C afforded clear, colorless crystals of **2** suitable for single crystal X-ray analysis (0.574 g, 80% yield). m.p. 105 - 112 °C (decomposed to a brown liquid). Anal. Calcd. (found) for $C_{21}H_{60}InSbSi_6$: C, 35.11 (35.21); H, 8.42 (8.36). 1H NMR: δ -0.04 (s, 6H, -CH₂), δ 0.27 (s, 27H, -SiMe₃), δ 0.37 (s, 27H, Me₃SiCH₂-). ^{13}C { 1H } NMR: δ 3.14 (s, -SiMe₃), δ 4.59 (s, Me₃SiCH₂-), δ 6.31 (s, -CH₂). The electron ionization mass spectrum shows peaks for $(C_{11}H_{30}InSi_3)^+$ at m/z 361.1 and $(C_9H_{27}SbSi_3)^+$ at m/z 340.1. These ions correspond to the fragments (Me₃SiCH₂)₃In (with loss of a methyl group) and Sb(SiMe₃)₃, respectively.

Preparation of $[(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaSb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_x$ (3): $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaCl}$ (0.247 g, 1.00 mmol) was dissolved in 15 mL of pentane, and added to a single-necked 250 mL round-bottomed flask equipped with a stir bar and Teflon valve. $\text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ (0.341 g, 1.00 mmol) in 10 mL of pentane was added slowly to the flask via pipet. The resulting clear, colorless solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 h, after which it had taken on a slight yellow color. The volatiles were removed *in vacuo* yielding a dark yellow solid which stuck to the bottom of the flask. Extraction with warm toluene followed by cooling to $-30\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ produced clear, colorless crystals of **3** (0.120 g, 25% yield), which began to slowly take on a red-brown color after isolation. This discoloration continued as the crystals were warmed. m.p. $166 - 172\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{40}\text{GaSbSi}_2$: C, 40.02 (40.33); H, 8.41 (8.60); Sb, 25.35 (24.78). ^1H NMR: δ 0.58 (s, 18H, $-\text{SiMe}_3$), δ 1.29 (s, 18H, $-\text{CMe}_3$), δ 1.47 (s, 4H, $-\text{CH}_2$). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: δ 5.01 (s, $-\text{SiMe}_3$), δ 5.71 (s, $-\text{CH}_2$), δ 34.63 (s, $-\text{CMe}_3$). The electron ionization mass spectrum shows a peak for $(\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{40}\text{GaSbSi}_2)^+$ at m/z 480.1 corresponding to the monomeric unit of **3**.

Preparation of $[(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{InSb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_2$ (4): $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{InCl}$ (0.325 g, 1.00 mmol) dissolved in 25 mL of hexane was added to a single-necked 250 mL round-bottomed flask equipped with a stir-bar and Teflon valve. $\text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ (0.341 g, 1.00 mmol) dissolved in 25 mL of hexane was added to the flask dropwise via pipet, resulting in a clear, light yellow solution which was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. After 24 h, the solution had taken on a deep red color. The solution volume was reduced *in vacuo*, and then stored at $-30\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for several days. Small colorless crystals of **4**, suitable for partial X-ray analysis were isolated (0.167 g, 30% yield). m.p. $157 - 160\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (dec.). Anal.

Calc. (found) for $C_{14}H_{40}InSbSi_4$: C, 30.17 (30.24); H, 7.23 (7.51). 1H NMR: δ 0.28 (s, 4H, $-CH_2$), δ 0.31 (s, 18H, $-SiMe_3$), δ 0.56 (s, 18H, Me_3SiCH_2-). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR: δ 3.31 (s, $-SiMe_3$), δ 5.78 (s, Me_3SiCH_2-), δ 35.05 (s, $-CH_2$).

X-ray structural solution and refinement

Crystallographic data are summarized in Table 1, while Table 2 lists selected bond lengths and bond angles. The structural analyses were performed as follows: Compounds **1** and **4**: Single crystals of **1** and **4** were mounted on a glass fiber with a viscous oil under a stream of cold dinitrogen. X-ray intensity data were recorded at $-135^\circ C$ on a Rigaku AFC6/S diffractometer utilizing graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$) and the structures were solved by direct methods. Full-matrix least-squares refinement with weights based upon counting-statistics was performed. Hydrogen atoms were incorporated at their calculated positions using a riding model in the later iterations of refinement which converged at $R = 0.074$ ($R_w = 0.074$) for **1** and $R = 0.103$ ($R_w = 0.117$) for **4**. A final difference Fourier synthesis revealed no unusual features. Crystallographic calculations were performed using the NRCVAX⁹ suite of structure determination programs. For all structure-factor calculations, neutral atom scattering factors and their anomalous dispersion corrections were taken from ref. 10. An ORTEP¹¹ diagram showing the solid-state confirmation and atom numbering scheme for **1** is shown in Figure 1, while the Chem-3D plot of **4** is shown in Figure 3. Due to poor crystal quality, a full set of data was not able to be collected for **4**, hence comprehensive structural data are not presented here. However, the plot shown in Figure 3 is generated from the partial data set, and the average In-Sb bond lengths and angles are given in Table

2. Compound 2: Single crystals of 2 suitable for X-ray diffraction were mounted in glass capillaries under argon. The unit cell parameters were obtained by the least-squares refinement of the angular settings of 24 reflections ($20^\circ < 2\theta < 25^\circ$). The structures were solved by direct methods, completed by subsequent difference Fourier synthesis and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures, yielding $R = 0.029$ ($R_w = 0.064$). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were treated as idealized contributions. All software and sources of the scattering factors are contained in the SHELXTL PLUS¹² (4.2) and SHELXTL (5.3) program libraries. An ORTEP diagram showing the solid-state conformation and atom numbering scheme of (2) is presented in Figure 2.

Results and Discussion

The independent room temperature reactions of $\text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ with Et_3Ga and $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_3\text{In}$ (1:1) yield the simple Lewis acid-base adducts $\text{Et}_3\text{Ga} \bullet \text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ (1) and $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_3\text{In} \bullet \text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ (2), respectively. Both of these compounds have been characterized by ^1H and $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR, partial elemental analysis, and single crystal X-ray analysis (*vide supra*). To the best of our knowledge, 1 and 2 are the first compounds containing gallium- and indium-antimony dative bonds to be fully characterized in the solid state. In addition, compound 1 is potentially well suited to serve as a precursor to GaSb, due to the possibility of eliminating the organic substituents through a β -hydride elimination pathway.

The 1:1 mole ratio reactions of $(\text{Me}_3\text{CCH}_2)_2\text{GaCl}$ and $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{InCl}$ with $\text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ undergo facile room temperature dehalosilylation to form compounds **3** and **4**, respectively. The identity of these compounds was established through ^1H and $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR, partial elemental analysis, and single crystal X-ray crystallography (*vide supra*). Unfortunately, crystals of **3** were very unstable and began to discolor when warmed to room temperature. This decomposition likely occurs due to photosensitivity, thermal instability, or a combination of the two. Because of this fact, determination of the oligimerization of **3** through X-ray analysis was not possible, although the monomeric unit of this compound was confirmed by NMR, EA, and EI mass spectral data.

Adduct **1** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$. The ethyl- and trimethylsilyl- substituents adopt a staggered conformation to one another, and the gallium and antimony atoms reside in a distorted tetrahedral coordination environment. Because this is the first example of a Ga-Sb dative bond to be characterized in the solid state, there is a lack of bond length data available for comparison. The average Ga-Sb bond length of 2.661(2) Å reported for the ring compound $[\text{Cl}_2\text{GaSb}(\text{t-Bu})_2]_3$ ³ is essentially the same as the value obtained from addition of the covalent radii of Ga and Sb, which is 2.66 Å. The gallium-antimony bond length of 2.846(5) Å in **1** is slightly longer than both the observed length in $[\text{Cl}_2\text{GaSb}(\text{t-Bu})_2]_3$ and the calculated value. This is to be expected, however, due to the dative nature of the bond in **1**.

Crystals of compound **2** belong to the trigonal space group $R\bar{3}$. Once again, the average In-Sb bond length of 2.844(1) Å reported for the ring compound $[(\text{tBu}_2\text{Sb})(\text{Cl})\text{In}(\mu\text{-SbtBu}_2)]_2$ ⁴ (**5**) compares well with the expected length of 2.84 Å as

determined from the covalent radii of indium and antimony. The In-Sb bond length of 3.0078(6) Å in **2** is once again slightly longer due to its dative nature. As in **1**, the ligands on the indium and antimony centers in **2** also adopt a staggered conformation to one another with the indium and antimony atoms existing in distorted tetrahedral environments.

The dimeric compound **4** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$, however the poor quality of the crystals did not facilitate a complete data set collection. The data set collected did, however, confirm the presence of a planar $\overline{\text{In-Sb-In-Sb}}$ four-membered ring in the molecule. The mean In-Sb bond length of 2.88 Å in **4** compares well with the analogous length found in the previously discussed dimeric compound **5**. The average In-Sb-In and Sb-In-Sb endocyclic ring angles of 95.2° and 84.8°, respectively, compare well with the analogous angle values of 94.93(2)° and 85.07(2)° in **5**. These angles also indicate that the In-Sb-In-Sb ring is indeed planar.

Acknowledgment - We wish to thank the Office of Naval Research, ONR AASERT Program, AT&T Bell Laboratories Cooperative Research Fellowship Program, and the Duke Endowment Graduate Fellowship Program for their financial support. We would also like to acknowledge Dr. George R. Dubay at Duke University for performing the electron ionization mass spectroscopy.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of bond distances, bond angles, and anisotropic temperature factor parameters for **1** and **2**; fractional coordinates for **1**, **2**, and **4** (9 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

References

1. See the following and the references contained therein: (a) Wells, R. L. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1992**, 112, 273. (b) Wells, R. L.; Self, M. F.; McPhail, A. T.; Aubuchon, S. R. *Organometallics* **1993**, 12, 2832. (c) Jones, L. J.; McPhail, A. T.; Wells, R. L. *Organometallics* **1994**, 13, 2504. (d) Wells, R. L.; Baldwin, R. A.; White, P. S.; Pennington, W. T.; Rheingold, A. L.; Yap, G. P. A. *Organometallics* **1996**, 15, 91.
2. (a) Wells, R. L.; Aubuchon, S. R.; Kher, S. S.; Lube, M. S.; White, P. S. *Chem. Mater.* **1995**, 7, 793. (b) Aubuchon, S. R.; Lube, M. S.; Wells, R. L. *Chem. Vap. Dep.* **1995**, 1, 28. (c) Wells, R. L.; Self, M. F.; McPhail, A. T.; Aubuchon, S. R.; Woudenberg, R. C.; Jasinski, J. P. *Organometallics* **1993**, 12, 2832. (d) Aubuchon, S. R.; McPhail, A. T.; Wells, R. L.; Giambra, J. A.; Bowser, J. *Chem. Mater.* **1994**, 6, 82. (e) Halaoui, L. I.; Kher, S. S.; Lube, M. S.; Aubuchon, S. R.; Wells, R. L.; Coury Jr., L. A. *ACS Symposium Series* **1996**, 622, 178.
3. Cowley, A. H.; Jones, R. A.; Kidd, K. B.; Nunn, C. M.; Westmoreland, D. L. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1988**, 341, C1.
4. Barron, A. R.; Cowley, A. H.; Jones, R. A.; Nunn, C. M.; Westmoreland, D. L. *Polyhedron* **1988**, 7, 77.
5. Cowley, A. H.; Jones, R. A.; Nunn, C. M.; Westmoreland, D. L. *Chem. Mater.* **1990**, 2, 221.
6. Beachley Jr, O. T.; Rusinko, R. N. *Inorg. Chem.* **1979**, 18, 1966.
7. Beachley Jr, O. T.; Pazik, J. C. *Organometallics* **1987**, 7, 1516.

8. Amberger, E.; Salazar, G., R. W. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1967**, *8*, 111.
9. Gabe, E. J.; Page, Y. L.; Charland, J. P.; Lee, F. L.; White, P. S. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **1989**, *22*, 384.
10. *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*; The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, Vol. IV, **1974**.
11. Johnson, C. K. ORTEP- A Fortran Thermal Ellipsoid Plot Program, Technical Report ORNL-5138, Oak Ridge, **1976**.
12. Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL, Crystallographic Computing System; Nicolet Instruments Division: Madison, WI, **1986**.

Captions to Figures

Figure 1. ORTEP diagram (30% probability ellipsoids) showing the solid-state structure and atom numbering scheme for **1**. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Figure 2. ORTEP diagram (30% probability ellipsoids) showing the solid-state structure and atom numbering scheme for **2**. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Figure 3. Chem-3D diagram showing the solid-state structure of **4**. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.




Figure 1.




Figure 2.




Figure 3.

Table 1. Crystallographic Data and Measurements for $\text{Et}_3\text{Ga}\cdot\text{Sb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ (1), $(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_3\text{In}(\text{Me}_3)_3$ (2), and $[(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{InSb}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_2$ (4).

	1	2	4
molecular formula	$\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{42}\text{GaSbSi}_3$	$\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{60}\text{InSbSi}_6$	$\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{40}\text{InSbSi}_4$
formula weight	498.22	717.79	1114.77
crystal system	monoclinic	trigonal	monoclinic
space group	$P2_1/c$	$R3$	$P2_1/n$
a, Å	15.013(8)	16.509(3)	13.060(3)
b, Å	9.959(8)	16.509(3)	18.738(5)
c, Å	17.025(5)	12.5893(13)	21.8376(21)
β , deg	91.68(3)	90.00(-)	90.443(12)
V, Å ³	2544(3)	2971.5(7)	5343.9(19)
Z	4	3	4
radiation (wavelength, Å)	Mo-K α (0.71073)	Mo-K α (0.71073)	Mo-K α (0.71073)
μ , cm ⁻¹	22.6	14.53	20.4
temp, °C	-135	25	-135
D _{calcd} , g cm ⁻³	1.301	1.203	1.386
crystal dimens., mm	0.25 x 0.25 x 0.30	0.40 x 0.30 x 0.30	0.25 x 0.25 x 0.20

Table 1 (continued)

	1	2	4
T_{\max}, T_{\min}	0.653; 0.470	0.616; 0.443	0.686; 0.424
scan type	ω	ω	ω
$2\Theta_{\max}$, deg	46	60	46
no. of rflns recorded	3403	3531	8391
no. of non-equiv.	3310	2106	7448
rflns recorded			
R_{merg} (on I)	0.046	0.024	0.029
no. of rflns retained,	1486*	2106 [#]	5227*
* = $I > 2.5\sigma(I)$ or			
[#] = $F > 4.0\sigma(F)$			
no. of params.	181	88	221
refined			
$R; R_w^a$	0.074; 0.074	0.029; 0.064	0.103; 0.117
goodness-of-fit ^b	1.90	1.01	4.51
max shift / esd. in	0.018	0.001	0.223
final least-squares			
cycle			

Table 1 (continued)

	1	2	4
final max, min $\Delta\rho$, $e/\text{\AA}^{-3}$	1.770; -1.210	0.273; -0.421	4.210; -7.810

$$^a R = \Sigma(|F_o| - |F_c|) / \Sigma|F_o| ; R_w = [\Sigma w (|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma w |F_o|^2]^{1/2}.$$

$$^b \text{Goodness-of-fit} = [\Sigma w \Delta^2 / (N_{\text{observations}} - N_{\text{parameters}})]^{1/2}.$$

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for **1**, **2**, and **4**, with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses.

1

Bond Lengths

Ga(1)-Sb(1)	2.846(5)	Sb(1)-Si(1)	2.570(8)
Ga(1)-C(41)	2.00(3)	Sb(1)-Si(2)	2.557(9)
Ga(1)-C(51)	1.96(3)	Sb(1)-Si(3)	2.569(9)
Ga(1)-C(61)	2.06(3)		

Bond Angles

Ga(1)-Sb(1)-Si(1)	114.50(22)	Sb(1)-Ga(1)-C(41)	101.7(9)
Ga(1)-Sb(1)-Si(2)	116.10(24)	Sb(1)-Ga(1)-C(51)	99.7(9)
Ga(1)-Sb(1)-Si(3)	115.61(23)	Sb(1)-Ga(1)-C(61)	103.2(8)
Si(1)-Sb(1)-Si(2)	104.3(3)	C(41)-Ga(1)-C(51)	114.5(12)
Si(2)-Sb(1)-Si(3)	102.3(3)	C(51)-Ga(1)-C(61)	117.6(11)
Si(1)-Sb(1)-Si(3)	102.2(3)	C(41)-Ga(1)-C(61)	116.2(11)

Table 2. (continued)

2

Bond Lengths

In-Sb	3.0078(6)	Sb-Si(2)	2.554(2)
In-C(4)	2.208(6)		

Bond Angles

In-Sb-Si(2)	114.25(5)	Sb-In-C(4)	98.8(2)
Si(2)-Sb-Si(2a)	104.29(6)	C(4)-In-C(4a)	117.71(9)

4

Bond Lengths (average)

In-Sb	2.88
-------	------

Bond Angles (average)

In-Sb-In	95.2	Sb-In-Sb	84.8
----------	------	----------	------